UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

DISTRICT OF MINNESOTA

FOURTH DIVISION

United State of America,

Plaintiff,

and.

State of Minnesota, by its Attorney General Warren Spannaus, its Department of Health, and its Pollution Control Agency,

Plaintiff-Intervenor,

vs.

Reilly Tar & Chemical Corporation; Housing and Redevelopment Authority of St. Louis Park; Oak Park Village Associates; Rustic Oaks Condominium, Inc.,; and Phillips Investment Co.,

Defendants,

and

City of St. Louis Park,

Plaintiff-Intervenor,

vs.

Reilly Tar & Chemical Corp.,

Defendant,

and

City of Hopkins,

Plaintiff-Intervenor,

vs.

Reilly Tar & Chemical Corp.,

Defendant.



No. 4-80 Civil 469

RESPONSE OF THE CITY OF

ST. LOUIS PARK IN

OPPOSITION TO THE MOTION
TO DISMISS OF REILLY TAR
AND CHEMICAL CORPORATION

The City of St. Louis Park joins in the responses of the United State of America and the State of Minnesota in opposition to the Motion to Dismiss by Reilly Tar & Chemical Corporation. For the reasons set forth in those responses,

the claims of the City of St. Louis Park, which are based on 42 U.S.C. §6973 and federal common law, state claims upon which relief may be granted in this Court.

The claims based on state law are appropriately before this Court under pendent jurisdiction. United Mine Workers of America v. Gibbs, 383 U.S. 727 (1966). Additionally, jurisdiction over the state claims is proper under 28 U.S.C. \$1332, because there is diversity of citizenship between the City and Reilly Tar & Chemical Corporation. Illinois v. City of Milwaukee, 406 U.S. 91 (1972), Reeves v. City of Jackson, 532 F.2d 491, (5th Cir. 1976). The City's cause of action is only against Reilly Tar, and thus, the required complete diversity of citizenship is satisfied.

Therefore, the City of St. Louis Park respectfully requests that the Motion to Dismiss by the Reilly Tar & Chemical Corporation be denied.

Dated: September 3, 1981.

Respectfully submitted,

POPHAM, HAIK, SCHNOBRICH, KAUFMAN & DOTY, LTD.

Wayne G. Popham

Allen Hinderaker

4344 IDS Center

Minneapolis, Minnesota 55402 (612) 333-4800 Telephone:

Attorneys for the City of St. Louis Park

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

The undersigned hereby certifies that a true and correct copy of the foregoing Response of the City of St.

Louis Park in Opposition to the Motion to Dismiss of Reilly

Tar and Chemical Corporation was served by mail, postage prepaid, upon the following attorneys of record this 3rd day of September, 1981.

Francis X. Hermann, Esq.
Assistant U.S. Attorney
234 U.S. Courthouse
110 South Fourth Street
Minneapolis, MN 55401
Attorney for Plaintiff United States of America

Stephen Shakman, Esq. and William G. Miller, Esq. Special Ass't Attorneys General 1935 West County Road B2 Roseville, MN 55113 Attorneys for Plaintiff-Intervenor State of Minnesota

Edward J. Schwartzbauer, Esq., and William J. Keppel, Esq. Dorsey, Windhorst, Hannaford, Whitney & Halladay 2300 First National Bank Building Minneapolis, MN 55402 Attorneys for Defendant Reilly Tar & Chemical Corporation

Thomas E. Reiersgord, Esq.
Yngve & Reiersgord
6250 Wayzata Boulevard
Minneapolis, MN 55416
Attorneys for Defendant Reilly Tar & Chemical Corporation

Thomas W. Wexler, Esq.
Peterson, Engberg & Peterson
700 Title Insurance Building
Minneapolis, MN 55401
Attorney for Defendant Phillips Investment Co.

Laurance R. Waldoch, Esq.
Lindquist & Vennum
4200 IDS Center
Minneapolis, MN 55402
Attorney for Defendant Oak Park Village Associates

James T. Swenson, Esq.
MacKall, Crounse & Moore
1600 TCF Tower
Minneapolis, MN 55402
Attorney for Defendant TCF Service Corporation, Successor In
Interest to Rustic Oaks Condominium, Inc.

Joseph C. Vesely, Esq.
Vesely, Otto, Miller & Keefe, P.A.
Northwestern Bank Building, Suite 203
1011 South 1st Street
Hopkins, MN 55415
Attorneys for Defendant City of Hopkins

Allen Hinderaker

Subscribed and sworn to before me this 3 day of September, 1981.

Notary Public andream

